Case 5:16-cr-20575-JEL-DRG ECF No. 13, PageID.34 Filed 08/23/16 Page 1 of 7

Our

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

STARLIT ZHAO,

Defendant.

Case:5:16-cr-20575 Judge: Levy, Judith E. MJ: Grand, David R. Filed: 08-23-2016 At 03:19 PM

INDI USA v ZHAO (dat)

VIO: 18 U.S.C. § 2251(a)

18 U.S.C. § 2422(b)

18 U.S.C. § 2423(b)

18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(2)

INDICTMENT

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

COUNT ONE

18 U.S.C. §§ 2251(a); (e) Production of Child Pornography

On or about and between April 1, 2016, through on or about August 5, 2016, both dates being approximate and inclusive, in the Eastern District of Michigan and elsewhere, the defendant, STARLIT ZHAO, did knowingly attempt to and did employ, use, persuade, induce, entice and coerce any minor, specifically MV-1, a

female child born in 2001, to engage in sexually explicit conduct for the purpose of producing any visual depiction of such conduct, knowing and having reason to know that such visual depiction would be transported using any means and facility of interstate and foreign commerce, using materials that have been mailed, shipped, and transported in and affecting interstate and foreign commerce by any means; and the visual depiction was transported using any means and facility of interstate and foreign commerce, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2251(a); (e).

COUNT TWO

18 U.S.C. § 2422(b)

Coercion and Enticement of a Minor

On or about and between April 1, 2016, through on or about August 5, 2016, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of Michigan and elsewhere, the defendant, STARLIT ZHAO, did knowingly and unlawfully attempt to and did use any facility and means of interstate and foreign commerce to persuade, induce, entice, and coerce any individual who had not attained the age of 18 years, specifically MV-1, a female child born in 2001, to engage in any sexual activity for which any person can be charged with a criminal offense, including production of child pornography, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNT THREE

18 U.S.C. § 2423(b) Travel with Intent to Engage in Illicit Sexual Activity

On or about and between August 4, 2016, through on or about August 5, 2016, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of Michigan and elsewhere, the defendant, STARLIT ZHAO, did travel in interstate commerce, that is, from the State of Washington to the State of Michigan, for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual conduct, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 2423(f)(1), with a minor, specifically MV-1, a female child born in 2001, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2423(b).

COUNT FOUR

18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(2)
Receipt of Child Pornography

From or about April 1, 2016, through on or about August 5, 2016, both dates being approximate and inclusive, in the Eastern District of Michigan and elsewhere, the defendant, STARLIT ZHAO, did knowingly receive child pornography, that is, visual depictions of sexually explicit conduct, including, but not limited to, the lascivious exhibition of the genitals or pubic area as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 2256(8)(A); and the images received by the defendant were mailed, shipped, and transported using the Internet, a means and facility of interstate or foreign commerce, and were mailed, shipped, and transported in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce by any means, including by computer, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2252A(a)(2).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS

18 U.S.C. § 2253 Criminal Forfeiture

Upon conviction of one or more of the offenses charged in Counts One through Four of the Indictment, defendant shall, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 2253, forfeit to the United States the following:

- a) Any visual depiction described in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2251, 2251A, or 2252, 2252A, 2252B, or 2260, or any book, magazine, periodical, film, videotape, or other matter which contains any such visual depiction, which was produced, transported, mailed, shipped, or received in violation of these subsections:
- b) Any property, real or personal, constituting or traceable to gross profits or other proceeds obtained from such offense; and
- c) Any property, real or personal, used or intended to be used to commit or to promote the commission of such offense or any property traceable to such property.

If any of the property subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 2253, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant--

- a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- b) has been transferred to, sold to, or deposited with a third party;
- c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of this Court;
- d) has been substantially diminished in value; or
- e) has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

the United States of America, intends to seek forfeiture of all other property of the defendant up to the value of forfeiture applicable in this case.

THIS IS A TRUE BILL.

s/ Grand Jury Foreperson
Grand Jury Foreperson

BARBARA L. MCQUADE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

s/ Matthew A. Roth

MATTHEW A. ROTH Chief, General Crimes Unit Assistant United States Attorney 211 West Fort Street, Suite 2001 Detroit, MI 48226-3220

Phone: 313-226-9186

E-Mail: matthew.roth2@usdoj.gov

s/ Margaret M. Smith

MARGARET M. SMITH Deputy Chief, General Crimes Unit Assistant United States Attorney 211 West Fort Street, Suite 2001 Detroit, MI 48226-3220

Phone: 313-226-9135

E-Mail: margaret.smith@usdoj.gov

Dated: August 23, 2016

United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan

Criminal Case Cover

Case:5:16-cr-20575 Judge: Levy, Judith E. MJ: Grand, David R. Filed: 08-23-2016 At 03:19 PM INDI USA v ZHAO (dat)

NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S. Attorney signing this form to complete it accurately in all respects.

	Companion Cas	e Number:
This may be a companion case based upon LCrR 57.10 (b)(4)1:	Judge Assigned	l:
☐ Yes ⊠ No	AUSA's Initials	
Case Title: USA v. Starlit Zhao		
County where offense occurred : Macomb Cou	nty	
Check One: ⊠Felony □Mi	sdemeanor	□Petty
Indictment/Information no prior coIndictment/Information based uporIndictment/Information based upor Superseding Case Information	n prior complaint [0	Case number: 16-mj-30341] mplete Superseding section below].
Superseding to Case No:	Judge:	
☐ Corrects errors; no additional charges or defend ☐ Involves, for plea purposes, different charges or ☐ Embraces same subject matter but adds the ad ☐ Defendant name ☐ Ch	radds counts. ditional defendants	
Involves, for plea purposes, different charges or Embraces same subject matter but adds the ad	adds counts.	or charges below: Prior Complaint (if applicable)
Involves, for plea purposes, different charges or Embraces same subject matter but adds the ad	radds counts. ditional defendants	
Involves, for plea purposes, different charges or Embraces same subject matter but adds the ad	adds counts. ditional defendants	Prior Complaint (if applicable)
Involves, for plea purposes, different charges or Embraces same subject matter but adds the ad Defendant name Charges or Embraces same subject matter but adds the ad Please take notice that the below listed Assistant Unithe above captioned case.	adds counts. ditional defendants	Prior Complaint (if applicable)
Involves, for plea purposes, different charges or Embraces same subject matter but adds the ad Defendant name Charges or Embraces same subject matter but adds the add Defendant name Charges or Embraces same subject matter but adds the add Charges take notice that the below listed Assistant Unit the above captioned case. August 23, 2016 Date Margare Assistant	adds counts. ditional defendants narges M. Smith United States Atto	Prior Complaint (if applicable) rney is the attorney of record for
Involves, for plea purposes, different charges or Embraces same subject matter but adds the ad	adds counts. ditional defendants narges M. Smith United States Atto ort Street, Suite All 48226-3277	Prior Complaint (if applicable) rney is the attorney of record for
Involves, for plea purposes, different charges or Embraces same subject matter but adds the ad	adds counts. ditional defendants narges IM Smith United States Atto ort Street, Suite All 48226-3277 13-226-9135	Prior Complaint (if applicable) rney is the attorney of record for
Involves, for plea purposes, different charges or Embraces same subject matter but adds the ad	ited States Atto M. Smith United States Atto fort Street, Suite MI 48226-3277 13-226-9135 13-226-5892	Prior Complaint (if applicable) rney is the attorney of record for

¹ Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, or (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.